

APPENDIX A

EVOLUTION

Evolution is included as a separate appendix for three reasons:

- 1) The evidence of evolution does not meet traditional scientific standards
- 2) Disproving evolution does not “verifiably prove the Bible is God’s truth.”
- 3) Many still view evolution as a rationale for disbelieving the Bible.

The opening ten words of Scripture: “In the beginning, God created the heavens and the Earth” force one to decide about God, mankind and Scripture before reading the book: “Is God the creator of the world, life, and mankind?” “Is mankind created by God?” “Is the Bible God’s inspired truth?”

God’s creation of the universe, world and life is repeatedly and unequivocally affirmed in Scripture/the Bible. God’s glory and power are evident throughout creation. Leading scientists in all fields recognize the intelligent design and creation of life, the world and universe. All living things have always “reproduced after their own kind.” There is no verifiable evidence for Darwinian evolution, the big bang, natural selection or other assumptions about the random origin of life and mankind. There is a mountain of verifiable proof that the Bible is God’s truth!

The clear majority of publicly reported speculation about man’s origin, starts with a biased presumption: “God does not exist and could not have

created anything.” Thus, what most hear, read or are taught is only the one-sided hypotheses of atheists and non-believers, not verifiable scientific evidence or proof.

The Evolution Appendix (A) is heavily populated with the quotations of prominent, credible Christian and non-Christian scientists who recognize the intelligent design and creation of the universe and life. The diversity of these quotations counters the false, often parroted notion, “Evolution is a proven fact which everyone believes.” They demonstrate that intelligent design and creation is much more widely accepted, and evolution is much more widely rejected than most realize. Since many non-believers arbitrarily reject the Bible out-of-hand, perhaps these non-Biblical sources will open closed hearts to the possibility of God existence and Bible truth.

Evolution’s accessories (random/natural selection, survival of the fittest and the ‘big bang’) are contrived alternatives to God’s sovereign creation. But, instead of “scientific enlightenment,” 150-years of evolution research – without producing a shred of verifiable evidence, has only further affirmed the Biblical truth of God’s design and creation. In response, the lack of substantive proof and the exposed fabrication of claimed proof of evolution, has been ignored and suppressed. In the final analysis, the Bible and science say the same thing:

- Every proposed “ape-man” fossil has been discredited or exposed as a fabrication or outright hoax.
- Hundreds of credible scientists in all fields have abandoned Darwinism.
- No statement or part of Scripture has ever been disproved
- All actual proof overwhelming supports the Bible and God’s creation

The question of evolution is no longer, “When will supporting evidence finally be discovered?” but “How much longer will evolution’s proponents continue to reject God’s creation and ignore their own lack of real scientific evidence in their stonewall support of the discredited, un-provable “theology of evolution?”

Evolution is one of the greatest deceptions in the history of Science

- “I believe that one day the Darwinian myth will be ranked the greatest deception in the history of science”

Soren Lovtrup --Biologist, Scientist,
‘Treatise on Theoretical Biology’

Respectable scientists are defecting from the evolutionist camp

- “A growing number of respectable scientists are defecting from the evolutionist camp ..Moreover, for the most part these ‘experts’ have abandoned Darwinism, not on the basis of religious faith or biblical persuasions, but on strictly scientific grounds, and in some instances, regretfully.”

Wolfgang Smith - Scientist at Bell Laboratories; Mathematics
Professor at MIT, UCLA and Oregon State

Darwinist vigilantes disparage real science

- “Darwinist vigilantes use the Internet to attack those they find guilty of promoting the heresy of intelligent design. They call themselves “howler monkeys.” Milton says, “The effects of the howler monkeys of the Internet are profoundly damaging to academic freedom of expression, whoever their current victim happens to be.”

Richard Milton --Geologist, Journalist and design engineer
and a member of Mensa; (Claims to have no religious faith)

Lack of a fossil record contradicts verifiable Darwinian evolution

- “If life progressed by an accumulation of small changes, as they say it has, the fossil record should reflect its flow, the dead stacked up in barely separated strata. But for well over 150 years, the dead have been remarkably diffident about confirming Darwin’s theory. Their bones lie suspended in the sands of time-theromorphs and therapsids and things that must have gibbered and then squeaked; but there

are gaps in the graveyard, places where there should be intermediate forms but where there is nothing instead.”

David Berlinski -- Mathematician; Molecular Biologist; (Secular Jew)

The Illusion of evolution :

Three things have done more to debunk the theory of Darwinian evolution than its detractors ever could do: (1) The self-evident truth that every living thing reproduces after its own kind. (2) The lack of any credible fossil evidence discovered in over 150-years of focused research; and (3) The repeated exposure of fabricated fossils and evolutionary hoaxes. The chronically missing “ape-man” creature remains missing because only apes and men existed, not “ape-men.”

Audiences applaud when a magician makes an elephant or tiger seemingly appear out of thin air. Even children know elephants and tigers don’t ‘magically’ appear out of nothing. People also know the universe, world and life did not magically appear out of nothing; and that an impenetrable barrier exists between rocks and living things.

The illusion of evolution is that “time magically erases the barrier between nothing and something, and between rocks and living things.” Many still cling to the illusion that “time makes impossible things possible,” because they have ruled-out God, yet still need a plausible explanation for their own existence!

- *“The improbability involved in generating even one bacterium is so large that it reduces all considerations of time and space to nothingness. Given such odds, the time until the black holes evaporate and the space to the ends of the universe would make no difference at all. If we were to wait, we would truly be waiting for a miracle”*

Robert Shapiro—Harvard Phd; Professor Emeritus and Chemistry Research Scientist (NYU)

Advancing chromosome and DNA technology further disproves evolution

The hopeful speculation of evolutionists that advanced technology (DNA, cellular microbiology, chromosome research, chemical, sub-atomic and molecular research) would support evolution, has been shattered. Advancing technology has only further validated the unchanging truth of God’s intelligent design and creation of the universe, world and life, and exposed the lie of evolution.

- *“There is no scientific evidence that a species can change the number of chromosomes within the DNA. The chromosome count within each species is fixed. This is the reason a male from one species cannot mate successfully with a female of another species. Man could not evolve from a monkey. Each species is locked into its chromosome count that cannot be changed.”*

“A dog will never have a litter of monkeys or cats. A dog has 22 chromosomes, a monkey has 54 and cats have 38 (and humans have 46). Half of the total number of chromosomes are contained in the female reproductive cells and half are contained in the male, so the exact total number is brought together in the offspring.” Chromosome count doesn’t vary. People always give birth to people. Dogs always give birth to dogs, etc. Genes can produce variety. But, not a different species. People may be short, tall, fat, thin, blond, brunette, etc., but they are still all human beings. Crossing of the species an un-crossable barrier which stops evolution dead in its tracks.”

Kent R. Riese B.SC [#41]....

Cows beget cows, apes beget apes and people beget people! A grudging concession to micro evolution (adaptation to environmental conditions) is sometimes offered as a last ditch alternative to macro evolution (a spontaneous or gradual leap between species). Even Darwin questioned the lack of evidence for an unproven leap between species.

“Why, if species have descended from other species by fine graduation, do we not everywhere see innumerable transitional forms? Why is not all nature in confusion, instead of the species being, as we see them, well defined?”
Charles Darwin, “Origin Of The Species”:

Honest, unbiased science can only prove what the Bible teaches: “All living creatures only reproduce after their own kind” (Genesis 1:11-12, 20-23, 24-25, 27-28). Amoebas do not become tadpoles, ducks do not become prairie dogs, and apes do not become men. This reality even holds true in the Spiritual realm. People do not become angels when they die. Angels are created as angels, not people, and can only “desire to look into the matter of Salvation” (1 Peter 1:12).

- *“The fossil record simply does not support the evolutionary theory, which claims there once existed a series of successive forms leading to the present-day organism. The theory states that infinitesimal changes within each generation evolve into a new species, but the scientific fact remains. They don’t. Fossils prove the sudden emergence of a new species out of nowhere, complete with characteristics unknown in any other species. The fossil record has no inter-mediate or transitional forms. This is popularly known as the “missing link” problem, and it exists in all species. The missing link problem is getting worse, not better, with the discovery of more fossils.”* --- *“The missing links are not being discovered, which proves they never existed. Darwin assumed transitional forms would be discovered in the fossil record over time, but that has not been the case. The fossil record, or lack thereof, is a major embarrassment to evolutionists”*

Professor Steve Jay Gould (1941-2002) Harvard professor of Paleontology and Evolutionary Biology

- *“Darwin’s strongest critics were scientists. The theologians who criticized him objected mainly to his philosophical insistence on natural causes and his denial of design--which Princeton’s Charles Hodge regarded as ‘tantamount to atheism.’ Even today, many critics of Darwinism*

are not religious fundamentalists. An ever-growing number of critics are credentialed scientists”

Jonathan wells - The Problem of Evidence’ - Forbes, Feb. 5, 2009

Molecular biologist, author and advocate of intelligent design

- **“The theory of evolution suffers from grave defects**, which are more and more apparent as time advances. It can no longer square with practical scientific knowledge. The Darwinian theory of descent has not a single fact to confirm it in the realm of nature. It is not the result of scientific research, but purely the product of imagination.”

Dr. Albert Fleischmann, Zoologist, Professor

THE THEORY OF EVOLUTION HAS EVOLVED TO OVERCOME ITS OWN DEFICIENCIES:

Instead of entirely scrapping evolution, some scientists have redefined the word “theory” into a “Peter Pan-like data repository” that can remain forever in unproven limbo! Bolt-on accessories such as “natural selection” and “survival of the fittest” and the “big bang theory” have been added to explain the otherwise unexplainable. This only heaps more layers of unprovable speculation onto an already murky evolutionary quagmire. By this means, Darwinian evolution poses a vague challenge to God’s creation, without ever producing a shred of real evidence!

THE ‘BIG BANG,’ ‘NATURAL SELECTION’ AND ‘SURVIVAL OF THE FITTEST’:

Some contend the universe began with a single enormous explosion of energy and light called “the big bang.” This is considered the singular event that started everything: the universe, space, matter, life, and time. This theory explains-away a sovereign, creator-God, if one ignores the lack of a source of the energy, matter and light necessary for the ‘big bang’ to occur. Christians

also recognize a Biblically-based “big bang.” God spoke, and “bang!” the universe, world and life came into existence! God’s creative design and power is the source of all things.

Astrophysicist Robert Jastrow, a self-described agnostic, stated, “... In a moment of cosmic explosion...the Universe flashed into being, and we cannot find out what caused that to happen.” Richard Dawkins, also a non-believer, stated, “Of course, we would love to know more about the exact moment of Big Bang, but interposing an outside intelligence does nothing to add to that knowledge, as we still know nothing about the creation of that intelligence”

- “...Some people fail to see the connection between the Theory of Evolution and the Big Bang Theory, but the connection is very real. Evolution was conceived in order to ignore creation by an infinitely powerful God. The evolutionists soon realized that creation of the Earth and all the cosmos had to be ignored also. The Big Bang myth quickly gained the support of atheistic scientists. The two theories are intertwined to oppose creation as taught in the Bible. If one theory falters, both fail.”

Kent Rieseke - “*Top Ten Scientific Facts Proving Charles Darwin’s Theory of Evolution Is Wrong, False, and Impossible.* Bible Life Ministries, 2014. Web. 30 Nov. 2015.

Natural Selection

Natural Selection is a theory expounded by Charles Darwin as a process where organisms adapted to their environment and then survived and produced offspring. The question of how multiple members of the same species simultaneously survived and evolved into sufficiently ‘adapted beings’ to produce a new, further adapted species remains unanswered. Like evolution, this theory was not based on verifiable evidence, but on the need to further advance the theory of evolution.

Natural Selection and random chance are inexplicable

- [Re: Caterpillar to chrysalid stages] – “Why, on that basis, should the ancestral insect have survived the mutations that projected it into the chrysalid stage, from which it could not yet develop into an adult? Where was natural selection then? How could pre-programmed metamorphosis, in insect, amphibian or crustacean, ever have evolved by chance? Indeed, how could development have evolved piece-meal? The ball is in the evolutionist’s court, tangled in a net of inexplicability.”

Michael Pitman – Scientist, Chemist; Professor at Cambridge.
Author of ‘Adam and Evolution’ - a critique and rejection of Darwinism

Natural Selection is absurd

- “To suppose that the eye could have been formed by natural selection seems, I freely confess, absurd to the highest degree”
Charles Darwin—Scientist; founder of evolutionary(biology;
(As quoted in his autobiography)

There is no evidence life has ever changed its chromosomes or DNA

- “Suppose, out of 100,000 co-existing creatures in a species, such as a horse, a few dominant, (more highly evolved) individuals survive to begin a new, superior species, such as a Zebra. Five out of 100,000 would be an astonishing number, (‘but, of course, “they have millions of years to work with”). The 99,995 lesser evolved individuals would continue down a neutral or inferior branch that, even with millions of years, must eventually stop producing offspring, to avoid diluting the superior (‘fittest) species determined by natural selection. Survival of the fittest works in reverse, eliminating inferior changes and killing-off that branch of the tree.”

“In the human tree, not one superior ‘link’, of 100,000 missing links, has been discovered. All of the other 99,999 inferior ‘links’ are also missing! Indeed, all 100,000 inferior and superior links are missing from the human fossil record!”

Kent R. Rieske, Developed hypothesis of the “missing inferior evolutionary branches” in 2008 ^[#41].

Natural Selection is impossible

- “The reasons for rejecting Darwin’s proposal were many, but first of all that many innovations cannot possibly come into existence through accumulation of many small steps, and even if they can, natural selection cannot accomplish it, because incipient and intermediate stages are not advantageous”

Soren Lovtrup -- Swedish biologist, Author: Epigenetics: A Treatise on Theoretical Biology (1974) and The Phylogeny of Vertebrata (1977).

Survival of the fittest:

Survival of the fittest is a term used to explain ‘natural selection.’ The “fittest” is understood to mean those in the best shape and best health will survive in nature.

Survival of the Fittest is impossible:

- “No tribe of humans could possibly exist on the basis of those terms [natural selection or survival of the fittest]. Such a tribe could not even raise a second generation: the helplessness of the human young is too extreme and prolonged.” “Huxley should not have needed Darwinism to tell him, -since any intelligent child of about eight could have told him--that in a ‘continual free fight of each other against all’ there would soon be no children, no women, and hence, no men. The

In other words, that That the human race could not possibly exist now, unless cooperation had always been stronger than competition, both between women and their children, and between men and the children and women whom they protect and provide for.” [“Survival of the fittest” – Term coined by Herbert Spencer Huxley]

David Stove --Philosopher, Educator and Author; Professor at University of New South Wales

Darwin’s theory of evolution:

Darwin’s hypothesis that humans “*might*” have evolved from apes was based on random observations of nature and scientific knowledge using equipment from 150 years ago. Since then, evolutionists have unsuccessfully used every advanced scientific tool and resource available to search for the “holy grail of evolution:” But, the missing “ape-man” remains missing ... as do millions of fossils of transitional species that would have preceded an ‘ape-man’ evolution. It’s unclear if Darwin actually targeted God’s creation. But 150-years of dogmatic insistence without observable evidence make it clear an agenda other than scientific enlightenment or truth is being promoted.

When Darwin proudly gave birth to his “theory of evolution” 150-years ago, he likely hoped it would someday evolve into a strong, healthy fact-based scientific truth, able to stand on its own merit. But, “little evolution” rebelled against traditional scientific standards of proof, compensating for his lack of substantive proof with more theories and a “new type of time which makes anything possible.”

“Little evolution” appealed to those willing to overlook a lack of substantive evidence - to sustain a disbelief in God, creation and Scripture. Evolution’s friends taught their children about Evolution and over time, persistent claims led to an assumption that evolution was actually based on scientific proof. That is how evolution transitioned from an unproven theory to an “accepted scientific fact” without any demonstrable evidence.

Evolution cannot forever be “going on somewhere else.”

- “It’s no wonder paleontologists shied away from evolution for so long. It never seems to happen! Evolution cannot forever be ‘going on somewhere else.’”

Niles Eldrege – American Paleontologist and Biologist.
 Author of: ‘Darwin: Discovering the Tree’, 2005,
 ‘Reinventing Darwin’, 1995, and ‘Eternal
 Ephemera: (2015 adaptation)

The fossil record simply does not support evolution

- “The trade secret of paleontology is: The fossil record simply does not support the evolutionary theory, which claims there once existed a series of successive forms leading to the present-day organism. The theory states that infinitesimal changes within each generation evolve into a new species, but the scientific fact remains. They don’t. Fossils prove the sudden emergence of a new species out of nowhere, complete with characteristics unknown in any other species.”
- “The fossil record has no intermediate or transitional forms. This is popularly known as the “missing link” problem, and it exists in all species. The missing link problem is getting worse, not better, with the discovery of more fossils. The missing links are not being discovered, which proves they never existed. [Even] Darwin assumed transitional forms would be discovered in the fossil record over time, but that has not been the case. The fossil record, or lack thereof, is a major embarrassment to evolutionists. The fossil record is a serious rebuke of the Theory of Evolution. New species literally explode onto the scene out of nowhere. New fossil discoveries continue to prove evolution to be wrong.”

Professor Steven Jay Gould of Harvard, as quoted by Michael Denton, Author of “Evolution, a Theory in Crisis.”

Periodically, a new missing-link fossil is enthusiastically announced. The last was the Australopithecus Afarensis (“Lucy”).discovered in 1974 and announced in 1979. Without exception, every single proposed ancestor (including “Lucy”) has been scientifically discredited and slipped quietly away with much fanfare than it was announced. (See Proposed Ancestors list).

- “... It is not the duty of science to defend the theory of evolution, and stick by it to the bitter end - no matter what illogical and unsupported conclusions it offers. ... If in the process of impartial scientific logic, they find that creation by outside super-intelligence is the solution to our quandary, then let’s cut the umbilical cord that tied us down to Darwin for such a long time. It is choking us and holding us back”

I. **L. Cohen** – Mathematician and researcher; Officer of Archaeological Institute of America; Author: “Darwin was wrong: A Study in Probabilities,” 1984, pp 214-215

God did not leave mankind alone to wonder about his origin, purpose or destination. He provided inspired Scripture and credible proof to support Scripture. Systematic elements of God’s design and creation supported by Scripture and actual scientific evidence:

- Every species always reproduces after its own kind
- “Intelligent design” is evident throughout creation.
- No evidence challenges the Biblical truth of God’s creation.
- God created the universe, heavens, earth and mankind

Evolution has “evolved’ into a ‘theology”

Science evolves, humans do not. The theory of evolution “evolves” with each generation’s unwillingness to believe and trust God, Christ and Scripture. The title of Darwin’s famous book, ‘On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection,’ is scientifically false. There is not a single authenticated

case of any living thing changing its species (or ‘kind’). Darwinian evolution has never been observed, and cannot be replicated or scientifically validated. Not only have new scientific discoveries failed to advance the ‘theory’ of evolution, they have exposed them as impossible! [#34]....

- “The doctrine of evolution has swept the world, not on the strength of its scientific merits, but precisely in its capacity as a Gnostic myth. It affirms, in effect, that living beings created themselves, which is, in essence, a metaphysical claim. ... Thus, in the final analysis, evolutionism is in truth a metaphysical doctrine decked out in scientific garb.”

Wolfgang Smith -Mathematics Scientist at Bell Laboratories;
Mathematics Professor at MIT, UCLA and Oregon State

Evolution theology is deeply mired in obscure, esoteric scientific jargon kept incomprehensible because no intelligible evidence exists. Those daring to challenge the “ministers of evolution” are personally attacked, rather than countered with credible proof.

- *“The fossil record is a serious rebuke of the Theory of Evolution. New species explode onto the scene out of nowhere. New fossil discoveries continue to prove evolution to be wrong. “Evolution itself is accepted by zoologists not because it has been observed to occur or can be proven by logical coherent evidence, but because the only other alternative, special creation, is clearly incredible. If a world view is created where the possibility of God is ruled out, then He becomes incredible. When people so blind their minds to the fact that they will not conceivably accept the fact of God, then any theory of evolution will do.”*

Professor D. M. S. Watson, Zoologist, Harvard Professor

- “Despite the tremendous increase in geological activity in every corner of the globe, and despite the discovery of many strange and hitherto unknown forms, the infinitude of connecting links has still not

been discovered and the fossil record is about as discontinuous as it was when Darwin was writing ‘The Origin.’

Michael Denton -PhD, Senior Fellow in molecular biology;
Author: ‘Evolution: A Theory in Crisis.’

There is plenty of fossil evidence on both sides of the “ape-man” theory
Significant fossil evidence of both ancient primates and men is readily available. Evidence of a purportedly missing “ape-man” or related transitional species cannot be presented - because theories do not leave fossils. The greatest proof of evolution is the continued unwillingness of many to believe and trust God, Christ an Scripture.

- *“ ... Almost every ancestor of man ever proposed suffers from disqualifying liabilities that are not widely publicized. I gradually came to realize that the presentation of fossil evidence for human evolution has long been and still is more of a market phenomenon than a disinterested scientific exercise. For (over) a century the search for the fossils of man’s antecedents has excited the imagination of the world. Virtually everyone is curious about how we got to be what we are. Finding truly definitive evidence of man’s evolution from animal ancestors would be about as sensational as capturing a flying saucer complete with occupants from outer space. In terms of fame, funding for further excavations, professional advancement and literary royalties from published accounts of their discoveries, many fossils portrayed as ancestral to man have proved to be worth far more than their weight in gold for the discoverers. “*

William R. Fix – ‘The Bone Peddlers’ [#37]

Proposed “ape-man” fossils are either apes or men, not “ape-men”

“It must be emphasized that where there is sufficient evidence, ALL skulls can be identified as being either ape or human. There are NO

other classes, for they are all the imaginings of the evolutionary paleo-anthropologists who insist on concocting a string of links between man and apes. In order to fill this enormous gap, any ape skull is greatly enlarged and the fossil's 'human' features exaggerated (e.g. Peking man and 'Lucy'), while human skulls are decreased and their 'ape' features are similarly emphasized (e.g. 1470 Man). With this firmly held in mind, let us look briefly at not only the class of Homo erectus but others such as Homo habilis, and where there is sufficient evidence, attempt to put the fossils they contain in one or other of the two real groups.' [#31]....

Malcolm Bowden - (Trustee of Creation Science movement')

SCIENCE HAS DISCREDITED EVERY PROPOSED "APE-MAN" FOSSIL^[#37]

Neanderthal Man was first discovered in 1856 and promoted by early evolutionists. It was reclassified as 100-percent human and dropped from "ape-man" consideration in the 1960s and 1970s. [#37].... ---

As reported in his book, 'Buried Alive,' Dr. Jack Cuozzo wrote that a study of Neanderthal skulls revealed a jaw misplacement that produced a protruding lower jaw to give it a more "ape-like" appearance. Further research showed anthropologists had been "forging and manipulating the jaw bones of Neanderthals for over 30 years!"

The February 19, 2005 edition of *WorldNet Daily* (WND) covered the story. Professor Protsch dated a female skeleton 21,300 years old, and another skull from Germany at 27,400 years old. The two skeletons were later proved to be only 3,300 years old and 260 years old. [#44]....

Homo Erectus (Java Man, Peking Man) was first proposed in 1891 and promoted by Eugene Dubois, Tilhard de Chardin, and Franz Weidenraich. Its ancestral status was rendered highly questionable by the discovery of skull 1470 in 1972. [#37]....

The Homo erectus class first came into being by putting Java man (Pithecanthropus erectus), which comprised the skull of a giant gorilla (or similar) and a human leg bone, together with Peking man (Sinanthropus pekinensis), composed entirely of ape skulls. Several other skulls that were too human and too early to be classed as apes were added to this intermediate group. The fragments of Homo habilis skulls were too small to determine if they were human or not, but they were often found in the presence of tools (which only shows men occupied these sites). The class Homo habilis was created by Louis Leakey, based on some skull fragments, but the classification was disputed, and the group ignored by most experts. [#31]....

Pitldown Man was proposed in 1912 by Arthur Keith and accepted by most evolutionists, but was exposed as a paleo-anthropological hoax in 1953. Bone fragments presented as the fossilized remains of a previously unknown early human, were exposed as a forgery comprising the lower jawbone of an orangutan deliberately combined with the cranium of a fully developed modern human..^[37] ...

The Pitldown hoax is prominent because it focused worldwide attention on human evolution, yet the forged fossil evidence was accepted for forty years before being exposed as a forgery. This showed the unwillingness of the scientific community to challenge its own findings and conclusions. ^{[#32]....}

Hesperopithecus: - **Hesperopithecus** was proposed in 1922 by Harold Cook and exposed as an extinct pig in 1927. ^{[#37]....}

“In 1922, W.J. Bryan, a politician of Nebraska, was campaigning in the courts against children being taught in schools that they were descended from apes. H.F. Osborn, head of the American Museum of Natural History, received a tooth from a Mr. Cook who had found it in Pliocene deposits in Nebraska. Osborn considered that it had the characteristics that were a mixture of human, chimpanzee and Pithecanthropus, and upon this evidence declared it was a further missing link, which he called Hesperopithecus.

He declared: ‘...the Earth spoke to Bryan from his own State of Nebraska. The Hesperopithecus tooth is like the still, small voice. Its sound is by no means easy to hear...This little tooth speaks volumes of truth, in that it affords evidence of man’s descent from the ape.’ “In England Sir Grafton Elliot Smith, F.R.S., Professor of Anatomy at Manchester, fully supported Osborn. The *Illustrated London News* published a reconstruction of Hesperopithecus and his wife. Later investigation, however, proved that the tooth was that of an extinct pig.”

-- **Malcolm Bowden** - (*Trustee of Creation Science movement*). ^{[#37]....}

Australopithecus Africanus was discovered in 1924 by Raymond Dart, Robert Ardrey and Maitland Edey and was disqualified by the discovery of skull 1470 in 1972.

Australopithecus Africanus was similar to *Australopithecus Afarensis*, a bipedal hominin with arms slightly longer than the legs (a trait also found in chimpanzees). It had slightly human-like, advanced cranial features but also presented primitive features including ape-like curved fingers adapted to tree climbing.

“The claim that the specimen was a hominid was rejected by those who saw the material as that of a young chimpanzee or gorilla. This view was not helped by the difficulty in acquiring casts. The material was distant from many in the field (few of which ever traveled to actually view the material), and most importantly, was that of a juvenile. Juveniles are often misrepresentative of adult states, and most researchers claimed that the Taung Child would have developed into a chimpanzee or gorilla ancestor. Due to the hostile or indifferent response of his peers, Dart never followed up the find with further excavations, and no other specimens of the species have been found at Taung. Dart dedicated himself to developing the anatomy department at the University of Witwatersrand, and it would be twenty years later when sites like Sterkfontein were found that corroborated Dart’s ideas.” ^{[#35, #38]....}

Australopithecus Reobustus was promoted in 1938 by Robert Broom and disqualified by discovery of *Homo habilis* in the 1960’s.

“Some scientists call the species in this group ‘robusts’ or ‘**robust australopithecines**’ and the genus name *Australopithecus* has sometimes been preferred instead of *Paranthropus* for all three species. Various other names have been used over the years. *Paranthropus boisei* was initially known as *Zinjanthropus boisei* and some *P. Robusts* specimens were originally named *Paranthropus crassidens*. The name *Paranthropus walker* is under review and

this species is often referred to as *Paranthropus* (or *Australopithecus*) *aethiopicus*.^{[#36]....}

None of these species is a direct ancestor of humans. *Paranthropus walker* may have evolved from *Australopithecus afarensis* or from an earlier species such as *Australopithecus anamensis*. Many scientists believe that *Paranthropus walker* was the direct ancestor of *Paranthropus boisei*.

The ancestry of *Paranthropus robustus* is debated. Some consider it to have evolved from *Paranthropus walkeri*. Others think the ancestor of *Paranthropus robustus* may have been *Australopithecus africanus*.^{[#36]....}

Gigantopithecus was discovered in 1946 by Franz Weidreich and dropped by most anthropologists as too improbable by 1950.^{[#37]....}

Bigfoot, Sasquatch, Yeti. The Abominable Snowman. Whatever you want to call it, such a giant, mythical ape is not real—at least, not anymore. But more than a million years ago, an ape as big as a polar bear lived in South Asia, until going extinct 300,000 years ago.

Scientists first learned of *Gigantopithecus* in 1935, when Ralph von Koenigswald, a German paleoanthropologist, walked into a pharmacy in Hong Kong and found an unusually large primate molar for sale. Since then, researchers have collected hundreds of *Gigantopithecus* teeth and several jaws in China, Vietnam and India. Based on these fossils, it appears *Gigantopithecus* was closely related to modern orangutans and *Sivapithecus*, an ape that lived in Asia about 12 to 8 million years ago. With only a few teeth to go on, researchers ultimately concluded the teeth were those of gorillas or other modern apes.

It is estimated that *Gigantopithecus* stood 10 feet tall and weighed 1,200 pounds (adult gorillas weigh about 400-pounds). Given their size, they probably lived on the ground, walking on their fists like modern

orangutans. *Gigantopithecus* had a mixed diet of fruits and seeds from the fig family Moraceae and some grasses, probably bamboo. The combination of tough and sugary foods helps explain why so many of the giant ape's teeth were riddled with cavities. And numerous pits on *Gigantopithecus* teeth - a sign of incomplete dental development caused by malnutrition or food shortages—corroborate the bamboo diet. Ciochon's team noted bamboo species today periodically experience mass die-offs, which affect the health of pandas. The same thing could have happened to *Gigantopithecus*.^{[#39]....}

Zinjanthropus was discovered by Doctor Leakey in 1959, was sold in educational circles. *Zinjanthropus* was evicted from the human family tree by Leakey's discovery of *Homo habilis* in the 1960s.^{[#37]....}

“The new discoveries of the australopithecines of arm bones and leg bones have indicated that these were long-armed, short-legged knuckle walkers. They were not bipedal, upright walkers as had been maintained and, furthermore, they are simply apes. This has been further substantiated by the discovery of what is called *Theropithecus galada* which is an Ethiopian baboon, with a dental structure remarkably like the australopithecines. They were thought to be like men because they resembled man a little more than most apes do. And so the australopithecines have hit the dust. (Richard Leakey, (1944-) Famous Kenyan paleoanthropologist regarded as one of the most *outstanding anthropologists of our time*.^{[#43]....}

Homo Habilis (ER 1470) - Dr. Richard Leakey also discovered an incredible find called ER 1470 in 1960, but which still has indeterminate ancestral status. In one speech, Leaky pointed out that 1470 was both old and aged and yet modern in appearance. “It lacks the brows, the prognathous jaw, and the crest on the head (the characteristics that are normally considered ape-like), it is obviously human, yet, predates all of our supposed ancestors.” Leakey continued, “this knocks everything we have been taught about evolution into a cocked hat,... and I have nothing to offer in its place.”^[#37]

When Leakey found the 1470 “man,” he first rejected it as a *Homo habilis* fossil. He claimed this well-publicized discovery “would change our ideas of human evolution.” But, it was embarrassingly human and while reclassified as *Homo habilis*, in the British Natural History Museum, it is even omitted from this class. Richard’s mother Mary Leaky said, “Richard wanted to ‘kill off the habilians and in doing, he would be rid of 1470 Man! This class of *Homo habilis* is a conglomerate of small pieces of African fossils that fit no other classification or group. The fossils are quite small and have not received much publicity. Whether *Homo habilis* falls into further disrepute probably depends upon whether evolutionists have any further use for it.” [#31]...

Ramapithecus was discovered in 1964 by David Pilbeam and Elwyn Simons and was found to be the ancestor of the Orangutan in 1979. [#37]....

“A fossil primate dating from the Middle and Late Miocene epochs (about 16.6 million to 5.3 million years ago). For a time in the 1960s and ’70s, *Ramapithecus* was thought to be a distinct genus that was the first direct ancestor of modern humans (*Homo sapiens*) before it became regarded as that of the orangutan ancestor *Sivapithecus*.”

“The first *Ramapithecus* fossils (fragments of an upper jaw and some teeth) were discovered in 1932 in fossil deposits in the Siwalik hills of northern India. No significance was attached to those fossils until 1960, when American anthropologist Elwyn Simons of Yale University began studying them and fit the jaw fragments together. On the basis of his observations of the jaw shape and of the morphology of the teeth—which he thought were transitional between those of apes and humans—Simons advanced the theory that *Ramapithecus* represented the first step in the evolutionary divergence of humans from the common hominoid stock that produced modern apes and humans.”

“In 1976, a complete *Ramapithecus* Jaw was discovered, not far from the initial fossil find, that had a distinctive V shape and thus differed markedly

from the parabolic shape of the jaws of members of the human lineage. He soon repudiated his belief in *Ramapithecus* as a human ancestor, and the theory was largely abandoned by the early 1980s.” [#33]

Lothagam Mandible (Jaw) was proposed in 1967 by Bryan Patterson and was disqualified by new measurements in 1977. In 1967, Bryan Patterson of Harvard University found one piece of a lower jaw on Lothagam Hill in Kenya. In the Time-Life publication “*The Missing Link*,” Maitland Edey confidently asserted, “Definitely hominid, it more closely resembles the human jaw ... Than an ape jaw” (*The Missing Link*, 1972). By 1977, Current Anthropology announced that new measurements showed the jaw could not have come from an early man after all (William Fix, *The Bone Peddlers*, p. 24). [#37]....

Australopithecus Afarensis “Lucy” was discovered in 1974 and first proposed in 1979 by Donald Johanson, Timothy While and Maitland Edey. From the onset, it has faced problems and **mounting controversy** throughout the 1980s [#37]....

“Johanson carried out excavations in the Hadar area of Ethiopia, and after five years had found several hundred bones representing at least thirteen *Homo Erectus* — a Fabricated Class and possibly more individuals. He called the group *Australopithecus Afarensis*. Among them was the famous ‘Lucy’ skeleton which is nothing more than an ape. Johanson claimed there was evidence that it walked upright, but the line of reasoning was extremely weak. I doubt if this classification will have many fossils added to it over the years, as it was only created for publicizing Johanson’s work in America.” [#31]

“Considerable debatesurroundsthe locomotor behavior of *Australopithecus* . *Afarensis*. Some studies suggest that “Lucy” was almost exclusively bipedal, while others propose that the creatures were partly arboreal. The anatomy of the hands, feet, and shoulder joints in many ways favor the latter interpretation. In particular, the morphology of the scapula appears to be ape-like and

very different from modern humans. The curvature of the finger and toe bones (phalanges) approaches that of modern-day apes, and is suggestive of their ability to efficiently grasp branches and climb. Alternatively, the loss of an abductable great toe and therefore the ability to grasp with the foot (a feature of all other primates) suggests *Australopithecus Afarensis* was no longer adapted to climbing.”

“Further findings at Afar, including the many hominins bones in site 333, produced more bones of concurrent date, and led to Johanson and White’s eventual argument that the Koobi Fora hominins were concurrent with the Afar hominins. Lucy was not unique in evolving bi-pedism and a flat face.” [#38]...

“The fossilized bones of a new animal have been found in Ethiopia near the site where “Lucy” was discovered many years ago. By the way, Lucy was a monkey, not an early humanoid. The number of bones of the purported ape-girl skeleton are unique because Lucy had only a few head fragments. This find gives us a lot of information about the animal because major parts of the skeleton were unearthed (assuming these are all from the same animal). It has teeth in the jaw and is said to also have un-erupted teeth still within the jaw. The evolutionists call the animal a “human-like” female child about three years of age and an “individual.” This is not a “human-like” fossil. It is an “ape-like” fossil because it was an ape!”

Evolutionists referred to “Lucy” as a “transitional species” and human ancestor, even though its head is exactly like a modern ape. The jaw is thrust forward and the forehead pushed back and slanted, [like that of an ape]. Ape-girl (Lucy) also had arms “that dangled down to just above the knees and gorilla-like shoulder blades necessary for swinging through trees.” It looks like an ape. It has a head like an ape. It has arms like an ape. It has shoulder blades like an ape. Its size clarifies that it is obviously a young ape, not a human, pre-human or humanoid. Absent compelling fossil evidence, scientists

call the ape-like features “evolutionary baggage” (only further discrediting their own theory of “natural selection”). [#41]...

CHRISTIAN QUESTIONS ABOUT SCRIPTURE AND EVOLUTION

Does the Bible state that the Earth is only six-thousand- years old?

Six thousand years is the cumulative, four-thousand-year life spans of people in the lineage from Adam to Jesus Christ, plus two-thousand years since Christ’s life on earth. Almighty God certainly has the power to have created the heavens and earth and mankind in seven literal, consecutive 24-hour days (and many believe He did). However, Scripture does not attempt to “force fit” God’s creation into that time frame or insist Bible believers do that. God created the universe, Earth and mankind in His own perfect time and time-frame.

Genesis opens with “In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth.” God then formed the earth and separated the water and land and set the moon, sun and stars in-place during His first four “days” of creation. Mankind was created on God’s sixth-day of creation, which started the presumptive *6,000-year earth-time clock*. On *God’s* fourth “day” of creation, He created the conditions by which mankind would be able to measure Earth time.

“And God said, “Let there be lights in the vault of the sky to separate the day from the night, and let them serve as signs to mark sacred times, and days and years, and let them be lights in the vault of the sky to give light on the earth.” And it was so. God made two great lights—the greater light to govern the day and the lesser light to govern the night. He also made the stars. God set them in the vault of the sky to give light on the earth, to govern the day and the night, and to separate light from darkness. And God saw that it was good. And there was evening, and there was morning—the fourth day.” (Genesis 1:14-19 NIV)

The Earth's 24-hour day/night cycles, seasons and years were created for mankind during his time on Earth. Nothing in Scripture suggests that God divides eternity into 24-hour days and 365-day years; or that the first four "days" of God's creation were based on a yet-to-be-created Earth time; or that Earth time is relevant or even exists in heaven. Therefore, the presumed creation of the Universe and Earth six-thousand-years ago, is not necessarily Scriptural or binding on God.

- Revelation 21:22-27 describes an eternal condition in "new Jerusalem," where there will be no night, sun or moon.
- Peter referred to the timelessness of God, declaring, "One day is to the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years are as one day" (2 Peter 3:8).
- Isaiah 45:12 affirms, "It is I who made the earth and created mankind on it."
- Jesus declared, "The Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath." (Mark 2:27)

CAN CHRISTIANS ALSO BELIEVE IN EVOLUTION?

Christianity is one's belief in Jesus Christ as one's Savior and God's source of "Salvation by grace through faith" (Ephesians 2:8). One's beliefs about creation and many other teachings and doctrines of Scripture are important and can influence or even undermine one's faith in the God and Christ of Scripture. However, one's faith in Christ alone is the basis of Salvation and eternal life.

Scripture uncompromisingly teaches God created the heavens and earth and fully-formed humans who reproduce after their own kind. Some suggest God may have used evolution as His "tool of creation," But Scripture offers no option for arbitrarily accepting some parts and rejecting others. Selective belief is a slippery slope that often undermines one's ability to trust other parts of Scripture.

If the truth of creation can be replaced with "a theory that seems right to men," why can't other difficult to understand part be similarly replaced? If one finds the first truth in Scripture of God's creation untenable, how reliable can one find the Scripture presentation of Jesus Christ as the Savior of mankind?

WHAT DO SCIENTISTS REALLY SAY ABOUT EVOLUTION?

There is no evidence to support evolution

- “There is no evidence, scientific or otherwise, to support the theory of evolution.”

Sir Cecil Wakely-- One of the world’s leading scientists (KBE, CB, LL.D, MCD, Doctor of Science, FRCS); past president of the Royal College of Surgeons of Great Britain

Evolution – Dating of Fossils and Rocks is Highly Misguided

- Darwinists needed time, and lots of it: uniformitarian’s had the geological theory that demonstrated great antiquity. ... Thus an unusual academic interdependence sprang up between the two sciences that continues to this day. A geologist wishing to date a rock stratum would ask an evolutionist’s opinion on the fossils it contained. An evolutionist having difficulty dating a fossil species would turn to the geologist for help. Fossils were used to date rocks; rocks were used to date fossils

Richard Milton--Geologist, Journalist and design engineer and a member of Mensa; (Claims to have no religious faith)

The origin of life had to be miraculous

- “An honest man, armed with all the knowledge available to us now, could only state that in some sense, the origin of life appears at the moment to be almost a miracle, so many are the conditions which would have had to have been satisfied to get it going”

Francis Crick--Scientist, Geneticist, Biologist - Nobel Prize for discovery of DNA’s double helix shape (Crick was an atheist and evolutionist)

Evolution is Wrong -Science has no idea how life emerged

- “We do not know how the universe began. We do not know why it is there. Charles Darwin talked speculatively of life emerging from a ‘warm little pond.’ The pond is gone. We have little idea how life emerged, and cannot with assurance say that it did.”

David Berlinski -- Mathematician; Molecular Biologist; Author; (Secular Jew)

There has been NO chemical or macro-evolution

- “I started as devil’s advocate for the creationist view and came, in principle, though not to any particular creed, to prefer it. ... The direction of the argument is clear -- there has been neither chemical evolution nor macro-evolution”

Michael Pitman--Scientist, Chemist; Cambridge Professor; Author of *‘Adam and Evolution’* - a critique and rejection of Darwinism

The fossil record does not support evolution

- “Despite the tremendous increase in geological activity in every corner of the globe and despite the discovery of many strange and hitherto unknown forms, the infinitude of connecting links has still not been discovered and the fossil record is about as discontinuous as it was when Darwin was writing the Origin”

Michael Denton, Phd, Senior Fellow in molecular biology; Author: *‘Evolution: A Theory in Crisis.’*

Failure to find evidence of even one mutation is evidence against evolution.

- Whoever thinks macroevolution can be made by mutations that lose information is like the merchant who lost a little money on every sale

but thought he could make it up in volume. ... Not even one mutation has been observed that adds a little information to the genome. That surely shows that there are not the millions upon millions of potential mutations the theory demands. There may well not be any. The failure to observe even one mutation that adds information is more than just a failure to find support for the theory. It is evidence against the theory.”

Lee Spetzner, PhD in Physics from MIT; Author:
‘Shattering the Theory of Evolution’

SCIENCE DOES NOT SUPPORT EVOLUTION

No Evidence of evolution, yet Science suppresses any criticism

- “There is a great divergence of opinion among biologists about the causes of evolution and even the actual process. By their remarks, some scientists think it unreasonable to draw attention to this disagreement, because the evidence is unsatisfactory and does not permit any certain conclusion.””Instead, some scientific men rally to defend a doctrine they are unable to define or demonstrate with scientific rigour, while attempting to maintain its credit with the general public by the suppression of criticism and the elimination of difficulties” ...

William R. Thompson--Scientist – Entomologist - 1887-1972 - Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, Ottawa, Canada

Darwinism faces steady dissent from the scientific community

- Over the past 130 years Darwinism, although secularly entrenched, has met a steady stream of dissent both from within the scientific community and from without it.

Michael Behe Ph.D--Micro-Biology, Biochemist,
Educator, Author

Neo-Darwinism is contradicted by Advancing Technology

- Just as biology had to be reinterpreted after the complexity of microscopic life was discovered, Neo-Darwinism must be reconsidered in light of advances in biochemistry

Michael Behe Ph.D--Micro-Biology, Biochemist, Educator, Author

Evolution is scientifically disproved, yet still popular

- Darwinian Evolution is unlikely to get one polypeptide right, let alone thousands upon which living cells depend for survival --- The fossil record is highly imperfect from a Darwinian point of view, not because of the inadequacies of geologists, but because the slow evolutionary connections required by the theory did not happen. Although paleontologists have recognized this truth for a century or more, they have not been able, in spite of their status as the acknowledged experts in the field, to make much of an impression on consensus opinion. ... Darwinian evolution is most unlikely to get even one polypeptide right, let alone the thousands on which living cells depend for their survival. This situation is well-known to geneticists and yet nobody seems prepared to blow the whistle decisively on the theory

Fred Hoyle --Astronomer - (1915-2001); Director of the Institute of Astronomy at Cambridge University. Numerous prestigious awards

Molecular evolution did not occur

- “Molecular evolution is not based on scientific authority. There is no publication in the scientific literature--in prestigious journals, specialty journals, or books--that describes how molecular evolution of any real, complex, biochemical system either did occur or even might have occurred “

Michael Behe Ph.D--Micro-Biologist, Biochemist,
Educator, Author (Darwin’s Black Box)

Complex biological systems refute evolution

- “It was once expected that the basis of life would be exceedingly simple. That expectation has been smashed. ... The elegance and complexity of biological systems at the molecular level have paralyzed science’s attempt to explain their origins. ... Many scientists have gamely asserted that explanations are already in hand, or will be sooner or later, but no support for such assertions can be found in the professional science literature.”

Michael Behe Ph.D.--Micro-Biologist, Biochemist,
Educator, Author ‘Darwin’s Black Box’

Computer simulations of Darwinian evolution fail

- Computer simulations of Darwinian evolution fail when they are honest and succeed only when they are not.”

David Berlinski - Mathematician; Molecular Biologist;
(Secular Jew)

No Scientific Truth to Darwinian evolution

- “The [Darwinian] theory is what it always was: It is unpersuasive. Among evolutionary biologists, these matters are well known. In the privacy of the Susan B. Anthony faculty lounge, they often tell one another with relief that it is a very good thing the public has no idea what the research literature really suggests. ‘Darwin?’ a Nobel laureate in biology once remarked to me over his bifocals.”

David Berlinski-- Mathematician; Molecular
Biologist; (Secular Jew)

Evolution is Wrong--Scientists have put the knife to Darwinism

- “Scientists at the forefront of inquiry have put the knife to classical Darwinism, They have not gone public with this news, but have kept it in their technical papers and inner counsels”

William R. Fix --Author, Philosopher, with degrees in History and
Behavioral Science; Author of ‘The Bone Peddlers, p. 180

Advancing Technology has Disproved Evolution

- “It is understandable that the biologists of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries did not appreciate the extreme chemical complexity of living systems. In 1924, A.I. Oparin’s work that was widely acclaimed as “putting the final nail in the coffin of the older religions.” All of life (and death) could be seen, it was claimed, to spring from natural causes.”

Evolution is pure Imagination

- “The Darwinian theory of descent has not a single fact to confirm it in the realm of nature. It is not the result of scientific research, but purely the product of imagination.”

Dr. Albert Fleischmann - Zoologist; Professor
University of Erlangen

All fossil evidence of evolution is adverse to claims

- “... It does appear to me, in the first place, that Darwin in the Origin was not able to produce paleontological evidence sufficient to prove his views but that the evidence he did produce was adverse to them; and I may note that the position is not notably different today. The

modern Darwinian paleontologists are obliged, just like their predecessors and like Darwin, to water down the facts with subsidiary hypotheses which, however plausible, are in the nature of things unverifiable.”

William R. Thompson—Scientist, Entomologist - 1887-1972 – Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, Ottawa, Canada

Evolution based on faulty assumption, not observable fact.

- “The [evolutionary] landscape has, after all, been brought into existence by assumption. It cannot be observed. It embodies an article of faith ... There are by now thousands of professional papers about the Landscape, and reading even a handful makes for the uneasy conviction that were physicists to stop writing about the place, the Landscape, like Atlantis, would stop existing--just like that. This cannot be said of the sun”

David Berlinski - Mathematician; Molecular Biologist; (Secular Jew)

Darwinian ‘possibility’ is not the same as ‘verifiable evidence’

- “A long-enduring and regrettable effect of the success of the Origin was the addiction of biologists to unverifiable speculations. ‘Explanations’ of the origin of structures, instincts, and mental aptitudes of all kinds, in terms of Darwinian principles, marked with Darwinian possibility but hopelessly unverifiable poured out from every research centre”

William R. Thompson.—Scientist, Entomologist - 1887-1972 - Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, Ottawa, Canada

Darwin’s theory makes no sense and has no evidence

- ‘Suspensions about Darwin’s theory arise for two reasons. The first: the theory makes little sense. The second: it is supported by little evidence

David Berlinski- Mathematician; Molecular Biologist; (Secular Jew)

The theory of evolution is imaginary

- “... every single concept advanced by the theory of evolution (and amended thereafter) is imaginary and it is not supported by the scientifically established facts of microbiology, fossils, and mathematical probability concepts. Darwin was wrong

I. L. Cohen -, Mathematician and researcher; Officer of Archaeological Institute of America

Adaptation, but, not origin

- “... The success of the [neo-Darwinian synthesis] are limited to the minutiae of evolution, such as the adaptive change in coloration of moths; while it has remarkably little to say on the questions which interest us most, such as how there came to be moths in the first place

Mae-Wan Ho --Biologist, Scientist; (Quoted by M. Behe in ‘Darwin’s Black Box’)

EVOLUTION HAS BECOME A ‘THEOLOGY’

Science is only human opinion. God reveals reality

- “*Scientific concepts exist only in the minds of men. Behind these concepts lies the reality which is being revealed to us, but only by the grace of God.*”

Werhner Von Braun, 1912-1977, Scientist, Astrophysicist
First Director of NASA, pioneer of space exploration

Evolution - A 'Theology' Decked out in scientific garb.

- “The ‘doctrine’ of evolution has swept the world, not on the strength of its scientific merits, but precisely in its capacity as a Gnostic myth. It affirms, in effect, that living beings created themselves, which is, in essence, a metaphysical claim. ... Thus, in the final analysis, evolutionism is in truth a metaphysical doctrine decked out in scientific garb

Wolfgang Smith—Mathematics Scientist at Bell Laboratories;
Mathematics Professor at MIT, UCLA and Oregon State

Evolution - Darwinism has become like a new religion.

- “Science has been twisted by The Four Horsemen in an attempt to prove an anti-religious point of view, and how that twisting promises so much and delivers so little.” --- “much of science has become rather dogmatic, like a new religion.”

David Berlinski -Mathematician; Molecular Biologist; (Secular Jew)

Both creation and science affirm God

- “I’m not an atheist, and I don’t think I can call myself a pantheist. We are in the position of a little child entering a huge library filled with books in many languages. The child knows someone must have written those books. It does not know how. It does not understand the languages in which they are written. The child dimly suspects a mysterious order in the books but doesn’t know what it is. That, it seems to me, is the attitude of even the most intelligent human being toward God”

Albert Einstein -Scientist, Physicist - 1879-1955;
Theory of Relativity

Teaching Darwinism as ‘fact’ is dishonest

- Science, useful as it is, does not explain a host of things; nor is all that it does not explain false. ... A man’s gospel is his business: that he teaches evolution as holy writ in television series or in schools and colleges--with no alternatives properly considered--is a more serious matter”

Michael Pitman - Scientist, **Chemist**; Professor at Cambridge.
Author: *‘Adam and Evolution’* - a critique and
rejection of Darwinism

Neo-Darwinism suppresses alternative inquiry

- Presenting one viewpoint exclusively is faulty teaching. Our descendants will marvel at the attempts of the neo-Darwinian lobby to suppress alternative inquiry, as we today marvel at the power of churchmen in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries

Michael Pitman - Scientist, Chemist; Professor at Cambridge.
Author: *‘Adam and Evolution’* a critique and
rejection of Darwinism